# Lattice Boltzmann methods as tools in Earth System Science



Dragos B. Chirila and Gerrit Lohmann

Climate Sciences — Paleo-climate Dynamics, Alfred-Wegener Institut für Polar- und Meeresforschung, Bremerhaven, Germany

# Introduction

Performance increase of supercomputers [Dongarra, 2014] affords Earth System Models (ESMs) to expand the range of included processes (e.g. increase in spatial resolution [Spall, 2010] or incorporation of additional physical and geochemical processes [Stepanek and Lohmann, 2012]). Here, we present the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM), as a novel modeling approach for some of the new processes included in ESMs. During the last two decades, LBM has been developed and successfully applied to many engineering fluid flow problems [Guo and Shu, 2013].

# LBM :: Core Ideas

relatively new class of numerical methods, inspired by kinetic theory  $\rightarrow$  simple algorithms:

. often explicit formulation

(1)

(2)

- 2. easy to parallelize
- 3. convenient handling of complex geometries

historically related to Lattice Gas Cellular Automata (LGCA), but evolved separately, overcoming some limitations of LGCAs bottom-up approach to numerical modelling:

- formulate simple, discrete mesoscopic model...
- 2... such that the desired macroscopic equations are recovered

### LBM :: Basic Algorithm

### Sample discretizations of velocity-space

- discretize physical space ( $\rightarrow$  the "lattice"/"mesh") and time
- discretize velocity space  $\rightarrow \forall$  node of the lattice, we associate a finite set of probability distribution functions (PDFs)
- PDFs evolve according to simple rules: local collision (e.g. relaxation towards local Maxwell distribution), followed by spatial streaming

$$f_{a}(\vec{x} + \vec{e}_{a}\delta_{t}, t + \delta_{t}) = f_{a}(\vec{x}, t) - \frac{[f_{a}(\vec{x}, t) - f_{a}^{eq}(\vec{x}, t)]}{\underbrace{\tau}_{Collision}},$$

where  $a \in [0, \beta - 1]$  is an index spanning the (discretized) momentum space and  $\tau$  is a relaxation parameter (related to simulated viscosity)

equilibrium PDFs based on local Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution

$$f_a^{eq}(\vec{x}) = W_a \rho(\vec{x}) \left[ 1 + 3 \frac{\vec{e}_a \cdot \vec{u}}{c^2} + \frac{9(\vec{e}_a \cdot \vec{u})^2}{2 c^4} - \frac{3\vec{u}^2}{2 c^2} \right],$$

where the weights  $w_a$  are lattice-dependent, and  $\rho$ ,  $\vec{u}$  are first moments of discrete PDFs multi-scale analysis shows  $O(\delta_x^2, \delta_t^2)$ -accurate recovery of Navier-Stokes equations

# LBM algorithms relevant to Earth System Science (ESS)







The method has been successful in modeling complex phenomena, e.g.: - multi-phase and multi-component flows [Sankaranarayanan et al., 2003] melting processes [Huber et al., 2008]

porous media [Ahrenholz et al., 2006]

direct numerical simulation (DNS) of turbulence [Peng et al., 2010]

Figure : Illustration of velocity-space-discretization for a **3***D* lattice.

# New modeling-framework (GeLB) :: Motivation

a wide variety of LBM algorithms exist...

- ... but, experimentation and inter-comparison is not trivial (changing core algorithm and, especially, boundary-conditions  $\rightarrow$  effectively rewrite of the software)
- technical side-issues (parallelization, efficient) I/O, flexible geometry) increase the amount of work

# **GeLB :: Basic Architecture**

Components of GeLB:

- $\blacktriangleright$  Core-library (C++), which supports parallelization, efficient I/O, simulation-restart, etc.
- source-to-source compiler, which takes as input simulation-description files (readable for non-specialist) and produces a C++ program that uses the GeLB-Core library
- simulation-description is expressed using a small set of abstractions (*Initializer*, *Dynamics*, *Gauge*)



Figure : Roles of Initializer, Dynamics, and Gauge in GeLB

{cdragos,glohmann}@awi.de

# References

# GeLB :: Sample results (preliminary)



Figure : **2D** Rayleigh-Benard convection (Ra = 1900)



### Ahrenholz, B., Tölke, J., and Krafczyk, M. (2006). International Journal of Computational Fluid Dynamics, 20(6):369–377. Dongarra, J. (2014). **Conclusions and Outlook** Technical Report CS-89-85, University of Tennessee. Guo, Z. and Shu, C. (2013). World Scientific Pub. Co. extensive model-validation B Huber, C., Parmigiani, A., Chopard, B., Manga, M., and Bachmann, O. (2008). for benchmark-problems International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow, 29(5):1469–1480. DNS simulations of Peng, Y., Liao, W., Luo, L.-S., and Wang, L.-P. (2010). double-diffusive instability Computers & Fluids, 39(4):568-591. addition of **GPGPU** Sankaranarayanan, K., Kevrekidis, I. G., Sundaresan, S., Lu, J., and Tryggvason, G. (2003). parallel-execution backend International Journal of Multiphase Flow, 29(1):109–116. Spall, M. A. (2010). Journal of Physical Oceanography, 40(10):2341-2347. Stepanek, C. and Lohmann, G. (2012). Geoscientific Model Development, 5(5):1221–1243.

http://www.awi.de/en/research/research\_divisions/climate\_science/paleoclimate\_dynamics