Reconciling Differences in Upper Ocean Heat content

Simon A. Good, Viktor V. Gouretski, Masayoshi Ishii, Gregory C. Johnson, Matthew D. Palmer, Doug M. Smith & Josh K. Willis

Climate Budgets

- Freshwater budget
 - Thermosteric Altimeter vs. estimates of freshwater input (Glaciers)
- Close Heat Flux budget
 - Year to year changes in ocean heat content vs. Top of atmosphere estimates

Road map

- Measuring ocean heat content anomaly (OHCA)
- Uncertainty in OHCA











Mapping

- 0-700 m
- Removed a mean and an annual cycle
- Binned into 1year bins
- Objective map
 ~ 100 km and ~
 1000 km (Zang
 and Wunsch
 2001)





0-750m Heat Content Anomaly



Types of Uncertainty

- Systematic
 - we know about (XBT fall rate correction)
 - we don't know about
- Random
- Mapping
- Climatology
- Sampling
 - distribution of data
 - Spatial
 - temporal
 - Resolution of Variability
 - Eddies
 - Gyres
 - Global mean

XBT Uncertainty

The XBT correction is hard to apply.

- Meta-data
- Want to make sure that you are correcting the same profiles



¹⁵ Gouretski (2010) CTD pairs, Depth and Temperature





Climatological Uncertainty







Mapping Uncertainty





Sampling Uncertainty



Lyman & Johnson 2008



0-700m Heat Content Anomaly



Mean of xbt correction solid and doted (show mean and black errors)

- ²² Wijffels (2008) CTD pairs, Depth
- ²² Wijffels (2008) Altimeter SSH, Depth
- ¹⁰ Levitus (2009) CTD pairs, Depth Dependent Temperature
- ⁹ Ishii (2009) CTD pairs, Depth (time)
- ¹⁵ Gouretski (2010) CTD pairs, Depth and Temperature



Conclusions

- XBT uncertainty dominates
- Robust Warming despite uncertainty from XBT correction
- 1-3 year interannual variability is not significant.

• Best XBT corrections database.

XBT Corrections

- ²² Wijffels (2008) CTD pairs, Depth
- ²² Wijffels (2008) Altimeter SSH, Depth
- ¹⁰ Levitus (2009) CTD pairs, Depth Dependent Temperature
- ⁹ Ishii (2009) CTD pairs, Depth (time)
- ¹⁵ Gouretski (2010) CTD pairs, Depth and Temperature





Wijffels (2008)



Unknown Biases

- Argo (depth offset in the Atlantic)
- XBT (Fall rate)







Sampling error

- Sub-sample a model
- Sub-sample Altimeter data (SSH)



SSH and Heat content

- Heat content is regressed regionally onto SSH to yield a regression coefficient alpha (Willis et al 2004).
- Alpha is empirical
 - Partially corrects for temperature-salinity compensation
 - Variability <750m
- For the global integral, Alpha = 51 zeta-joules cm⁻¹





where, *standard_error_Aviso* = 2 zeta - joules





0-750m Heat Content Anomaly









Spatial average

- Spatial Mean of the maps (Assume i.e. the map resolves the global mean) $Mean \approx Mean_{map}$
- Representative Spatial Mean (Assume i.e. mean is the same over the area represented in the map and the area outside the mapping)

$$Mean \approx \frac{Mean_{map} \times Area}{Area_{map}}$$















Where did the heat go? 32 ± 11 zeta-Joules=

- 5° C atmospheric temperature increase (volume average)
- •melt enough land-bound ice to raise sea level by 24 cm
- •Melt all of Earth's sea ice (3 times)
- •Evaporate water equivalent to 5 cm of sea level (3 cm, atmosphere)
- •120 Sv ° C implies a 8 ° C change in a 15 Sv Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (1 Sv = $10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$)

Toward closing the global radiation budget

Satellite

measurements of net flux at the top of the atmosphere, should roughly agree with ocean heat storage variability



From Wong, T., B. Wielicki, R. B. Lee, G. L. Smith, K. Bush, and J. Willis, *J. Climate*, 2006

Thermosteric sea level change



Sea level continued to rise despite cooling and *decrease* in thermosteric sea level

~ 6 mm drop in thermosteric sea level

Sea level change due to freshwater input



Note the steady increase in the rate of freshwater input

Average rate: 2.1±0.8 mm/yr



Crude local/regional estimates suggest significant abyssal contribution
Source regions locally 4 to 28 W m-2 over small areas (e.g. Labrador Sea)
Interior oceans regionally 0.1 - 0.5 W m-2 over larger areas (e.g. Pacific)
Time scales & spatial patterns?

•N Atlantic -> Decadal (NAO)

•A few time-series & 10-year repeat sections!

•What about the Antarctic?

•What about the Indian, Pacific, & S. Atlantic?

•Need improved abyssal observing system

Conclusions

- Argo has increased the spatial resolution of annual estimates of global 0-750 m heat content and will extend the estimate to >1000m.
- Spatial mean of the Maps Yields Biased decadal trend low.
- Representative spatial mean produces an unbiased estimate of the decadal trend.
- We can see a 32 ± 11 zeta-Joule heat loss from 2003-2005.
- Where did the heat go?
 - Space?
 - Deep?
 - Combination?

0-750m Heat Content Anomaly



Additional Slides – warming v. depth



Zonal integral of ocean temperature.

Note: much of the cooling at 400 m depth occurs in the tropical S. Pacific We really want to know $\sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} m_{i,j} dA_{i,j} = M_r$, where

 $m_{i,j}$ is the real mean at every grid point and

 M_{r} is the total of the real spatial mean.

$$\sum_{i=0,I\atop j=0,J} \langle m_s \rangle_{i,j} dA_{i,j} = M_s$$
$$M_s = m_s \sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\ j=0,J}} \langle 1 \rangle_{i,j} dA_{i,j}$$

Where $\langle 1 \rangle_{i,j}$ is 1 mapped to location i,j from the data positions. Then

$$m_{i,j} \approx m_s = \frac{M_s}{\sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} \langle 1 \rangle_{i,j} dA_{i,j}}$$

and,

$$M_{r} = \sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} m_{i,j} dA_{i,j} \approx \frac{M_{s} \sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} dA_{i,j}}{\sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} \langle 1 \rangle_{i,j} dA_{i,j}} = \frac{M_{s} \times A}{\sum_{\substack{i=0,I\\j=0,J}} \langle 1 \rangle_{i,j} dA_{i,j}}$$









